# **Effective Elementary Reading Programs Assessment and Planning Instrument**



Virginia Department of Education Office of Elementary Instructional Services

School:	
Date:	
Position (check one)	Grades Taught (if applicable)
Administrator	Kindergarten
Classroom Teacher	First Grade
Reading Specialist	Second Grade
	Third Grade
	Fourth Grade
	Fifth Grade
Years of Teaching Experience	Years at This School

#### **Directions**

Based on your knowledge of the school's reading program (e.g., goals, assessments, materials, time) use the following criteria to evaluate your impressions of the implementation of the schoolwide reading program.

Check either yes or no for each item. For each item checked yes, provide brief comments to support your answer. The criteria is organized into the following categories:

- A. Administration/Organization/Communication
- B. Goals/Objectives/Priorities
- C. Assessment
- D. Instructional Practices and Materials
- E. Differentiated Instruction/Grouping/Scheduling
- F. Instructional Time
- G. Professional Development

A. Administration/Organization/Communication – Strong instructional leadership maintains a focus on high-quality instruction, organizes and allocates resources to support reading, and establishes mechanisms to communicate reading progress and practices. **Evaluation Criteria Documentation of Evidence** Yes 1. Administrators are knowledgeable of the Virginia English Standards No \_\_\_ of Learning. Yes \_\_\_\_ 2. Administrators are knowledgeable of the dimensions of reading: No \_\_\_ phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary development, and comprehension. Yes \_\_\_\_ 3. Administrators are knowledgeable of current scientifically-based No \_\_\_ reading research. a) Preventing Reading Difficulties In Young Children b) Starting Out Right c) Report of the National Reading Panel d) Every Child Reading: An Action Plan e) Every Child Reading: A Professional Development Guide f) Teaching Reading Is Rocket Science g) Put Reading First – The Research Building Blocks for Teaching Children to Read. 4. Administrators work with staff to Yes \_\_\_ create a coherent schoolwide plan No \_\_\_ for reading instruction and institute practices to support the school's reading goals.

A. Administration/Organization/Communication continues – Strong instructional leadership maintains a focus on high-quality instruction, organizes and allocates resources to support reading, and establishes mechanisms to communicate reading progress and practices. **Evaluation Criteria Documentation of Evidence** Yes \_\_\_ 5. Administrators maximize and protect instructional time and No \_\_\_ organize resources and personnel to support reading instruction, practice, and assessment. Yes \_\_\_ 6. Grade-level teams are established and supported to analyze reading No performance and plan instruction. Yes \_\_\_\_ 7. Time is allocated for educators to analyze, plan, and refine No instruction. Yes \_\_\_\_ 8. Time is allocated for educators to make instructional decisions that No \_\_\_ improve the coordination of instruction from one grade level to the next. Yes \_\_\_\_ 9. Concurrent instruction (e.g., Title I, Early Intervention Reading No \_\_\_ Initiative, and special education) is coordinated with and supplements regular classroom reading instruction. Yes \_\_\_ 10. A communication plan for reporting and sharing student No \_\_\_ performance with teachers, parents, and other stakeholders is

in place.

B. Goals, Objectives, Priorities – Goals for reading achievement are clearly defined, anchored to research, prioritized in terms of importance to student learning, commonly understood by users, and consistently employed as instructional guides by all teachers of reading.

Evaluation Criteria

Documentation of Evidence

1. This school has a written
schoolwide literacy plan that has

1.	This school has a written schoolwide literacy plan that has clearly defined and measurable goals and objectives for each grade level.	Yes No	
2.	Goals and objectives are based in part on analysis of available data.	Yes No	
3.	Goals and objectives are prioritized and organized by the dimensions of reading: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension.	Yes No	
4.	Goals and objectives are commonly understood and consistently used by teachers and administration with and between grades to evaluate and communicate student learning and improve practice.	Yes No	
5.	The schoolwide literacy plan guides instructional and curricular decisions (e.g., time allocation, curriculum program adoptions, and materials).	Yes No	

**C. Assessment** – Instruments and procedures for assessing reading achievement are clearly specified, measure important skills, provide reliable and valid information about student performance, and inform instruction in important, meaningful, and maintainable ways.

	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Documentation of Evidence</b>		
1.	A schoolwide assessment system and database is established and maintained for documenting student performance and monitoring progress.	Yes No		
2.	Measures assess student performance on prioritized goals and objectives.	Yes No		
3.	Measures have established technical adequacy (i.e., reliability and validity).	Yes No		
4.	All users receive training and follow-up on measurement administration, scoring, and data interpretation.	Yes No		
5.	These assessment and evaluations are used with all students: reening Assessments	Yes No		
	At kindergarten and first grade, every student is screened for phonemic awareness, alphabetic knowledge, and understanding of basic language concepts.			
2.	At the beginning of the year all students are screened to determine independent and instructional reading levels.			

**C. Assessment continues** –Instruments and procedures for assessing reading achievement are clearly specified, measure important skills, provide reliable and valid information about student performance, and inform instruction in important, meaningful, and maintainable ways.

Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence		
5. Continues  Informal Assessments On a regular basis, students are informally assessed for word knowledge, spelling, reading rate and accuracy, and story retellings.  End-of-year Assessments Every student is assessed at the end of the school year to inform parents, teachers, and district administrators about student progress.			
6. Measures are administered formatively throughout the year to document and monitor student reading performance (i.e., quarterly for all students).	Yes No		
7. Student performance data are analyzed and summarized in meaningful formats and routinely used by grade-level teams to evaluate and adjust instruction.	Yes No		
8. The building has a "resident" expert to maintain the assessment system and ensure measures are collected reliably, data are scored and entered accurately, and feedback is provided in a timely fashion.	Yes No		

**D. Instructional Programs and Materials** – The instructional programs and materials have documented efficacy, are drawn from research-based findings and practices, align with state standards and benchmarks, and support the full range of learners.

Evaluation Criteria		Documentation of Evidence		
1.	A validated process based on scientifically-based criteria is used to select instructional materials.	Yes No		
2.	The core instructional program adopted and implemented schoolwide:	Yes No		
	a) is research-based,			
	b) is aligned with the Virginia English Standards of Learning,			
	c) supports the school's goals and objectives, and			
	d) has documented evidence of improving student achievement.			
3.	Research-based criteria are used to establish systematic instruction and sufficient practice in the components of reading.	Yes No		
A. Phonemic Awareness - Kindergarten and First Grade The ability to hear, identify, and manipulate individual sounds in spoken language.		Yes No		
•	identify phonemes			
•	categorize phonemes			
•	blend phonemes into words			
•	segment words into phonemes			
•	delete or add phonemes to form new words, and			
•	substitute phonemes to make new word.			

**D. Instructional Programs and Materials continues** – The instructional programs and materials have documented efficacy, are drawn from research-based findings and practices, align with state standards and benchmarks, and support the full range of learners.

Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence		
B. Alphabetic Knowledge - Kindergarten The ability to recognize, name, and write letters.	Yes No		
<ul> <li>C. Phonics Instruction -</li> <li>Kindergarten, First, and Second Grades</li> <li>Teaches students how to decode and encode words.</li> <li>students learn the relationships between the letters of written language and the sounds of spoken language</li> <li>instruction leads to an</li> </ul>	Yes No		
understanding of the alphabetic principle – the systematic predictable relationships between written letters and spoken sounds  • instruction is systematic - the plan of instruction includes a selected set of letter-sound relationships that are organized into a logical sequence			
• instruction is explicit - the programs provide teachers with precise directions for the teaching of these relationships, and			
ample opportunities are provided for students to apply what they are learning about letters and sounds to the reading of words, sentences, and stories.			

**D. Instructional Programs and Materials continues** – The instructional programs and materials have documented efficacy, are drawn from research-based findings and practices, align with state standards and benchmarks, and support the full range of learners.

Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
D. <u>Decodable Text</u> - Kindergarten and First Grade	Yes No	
Text in which a large proportion of words (80%) comprised sounds-symbol relationships that have already been taught.		
<ul> <li>instruction provides practice with specific decoding skills, and</li> <li>instruction bridges learning phonics and applying phonics in</li> </ul>		
independent reading of text.  E. Fluency - First, Second, and Third Grades  The ability to read a text accurately	Yes No	
<ul> <li>and quickly.</li> <li>students understand what they read</li> <li>fluent reading is modeled, and</li> <li>students engage in repeated oral</li> </ul>		
reading of text at the students' independent reading level.  F. Vocabulary - All Grades		
The words we must know in order to communicate effectively.	Yes No	
• students engage in oral language, listen to adults read to them, and read extensively on their own		
students are explicitly taught both individual words and word learning strategies, and		
word strategies are taught: how to use dictionaries and other reference aids; how to use information about word parts to figure out the meanings of words in text, and how to use context		
clues to determine word meaning.		

**D. Instructional Programs and Materials continues** – The instructional programs and materials have documented efficacy, are drawn from research-based findings and practices, align with state standards and benchmarks, and support the full range of learners.

Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence		
G. Comprehension Instruction - All Grades	Yes No		
The ability to gain and use meaning from text.			
Instruction is purposeful and active			
Instruction is explicit and includes: direct explanation, modeling, guided practice, and application, and			
Comprehension strategies include: monitoring, graphic and semantic organizers, answering questions, generating questions, recognizing story structure, and summarizing.			
4. The core instructional program provides a balance of text types (i.e., predictable, decodable, quality children's literature; narrative and expository).	Yes No		
5. The instructional program includes daily reading aloud by the teacher and discussion of both fiction and nonfiction.	Yes No		
6. Programs with documented evidence of improving student achievement are in place for intervention and remediation of students who do not demonstrate adequate knowledge or progress from the core program.	Yes No		
7. All programs and materials are implemented with a high level of consistency and conformity.	Yes No		

**E. Differentiated Instruction/Grouping/Scheduling** – Instruction optimizes learning for all students by tailoring instruction to meet current levels of knowledge and prerequisite skills and organizing instruction to enhance student learning. **Evaluation Criteria Documentation of Evidence** Yes \_\_\_\_ 1. Instruction at all grades is provided in flexible homogeneous No \_\_\_\_ groupings to maximize student performance. 2. Tutoring is used judiciously to Yes \_\_\_ supplement (not supplant) explicit No \_\_\_ teacher-directed instruction. Yes \_\_\_\_ 3. Group size, instructional time, and instructional programs are No \_\_\_ determined by and adjusted according to learner performance (i.e., students with greatest needs are in groups that allow more frequent monitoring and opportunities to respond and receive feedback). Yes \_\_\_ 4. Cross-class and cross-grade grouping is used when No \_\_\_ appropriate to maximize learning opportunities.

<b>F.</b> Instructional Time – A sufficient amount of time is allocated for instruction and the time allocated is used effectively.			
Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence		
1. The school literacy plan allows for a set amount of daily uninterrupted time for reading instruction and reading practice.	Yes No		
2. The school literacy plan establishes a system for coordinating resources, both people and materials, to ensure optimal use of the time.	Yes No		
3. Students in grades K-3 receive a minimum of 30 minutes of small-group teacher-directed reading instruction daily.	Yes No		
4. Additional instructional time is allocated to students who fail to make adequate reading progress.	Yes No		

<b>G. Professional Development</b> – Adequate and ongoing professional development is available to support reading achievement.				
Evaluation Criteria		Documentation of Evidence		
1.	hav wo lev	achers and instructional staff we thorough understanding and orking knowledge of grade-el instructional priorities and ective practices.	Yes No	
2.	est and ass	going staff development is ablished to support teachers d instructional staff in the tessment and instruction of tructional priorities.	Yes No	
3.	3. Staff development efforts are explicitly linked to scientifically validated programs and practices as outlined in consensus documents of research such as:		Yes No	
	a)	Preventing Reading Difficulties In Young Children		
	b)	Starting Out Right		
	c)	Report of the National Reading Panel		
	d)	Every Child Reading: An Action Plan		
	e)	Every Child Reading: A Professional Development Guide		
	f)	Teaching Reading Is Rocket Science		
	g)	Put Reading First - The Research Building Blocks for Teaching Children to Read.		

# **References:**

- Burns, M. Susan, Peg Griffin, and Catherine E. Snow. <u>Starting Out Right</u>. Washington: National Academy Press, 1999.
- Every Child Reading: An Action Plan. Washington: Learning First Alliance, 1998.
- <u>Every Child Reading: A Professional Development Guide</u>. Washington: Learning First Alliance, 2000.
- Kame'enui, Edward J. and Deborah C. Simmons. <u>Planning and Evaluation Tool for Effective Schoolwide Reading Programs</u>. Oregon: Institute for the Development of Educational Achievement. (IDEA). University of Oregon, 2000.
- Moats, Louisa. <u>Teaching Reading Is Rocket Science</u>. Washington: American Federation of Teachers, 1999.
- Snow, Catherine E., M. Susan Burns, and Peg Griffin. <u>Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children.</u> Washington: National Academy Press, 1998.
- Texas. Texas Education Agency. Texas Reading Initiative. <u>Beginning Reading Instruction-Components and Features of a Research-Based Reading Program.</u> By Robin Gilchrist. Texas: Texas Education Agency, 1996.
- United States. Department of Education. Center for the Improvement of Early Reading Achievement. <u>Put Reading First: The Research Building Blocks for Teaching Children to Read.</u> Washington: GPO, 2001.
- ---. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. National Institutes of Health. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. <u>Teaching Children To Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature on Reading and Its Implications for Reading Instruction</u> By National Reading Panel. Washington: GPO, 2000.